

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**APPLICANT: JOSEF FELBER**

**ART UNIT: 3781**

**SERIAL NO.: 10/598,008**

**EXAMINER: ELIZABETH J. VOLZ**

**FILED: AUGUST 21, 2006**

**CONFIRMATION NO.: 9071**

**TITLE: FLIP-TOP FOR COMPOSITE AND CARDBOARD PACKAGING**

**PAYMENT OF DEFICIENCY FOR PETITION FOR ONE-MONTH EXTENSION  
OF TIME DUE TO ERROR IN REMITTING SMALL ENTITY FEE,  
RATHER THAN LARGE ENTITY FEE, PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.28(c)**

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

On August 5, 2010, Applicant, through counsel, filed an *Amendment* in response to the third Office Action, dated April 5, 2010, and remitted the Small Entity one-month extension fee of \$65.00 not realizing by unintentional oversight that the above-identified patent application had been assigned, of record, to SIG Technology Ltd., which does not qualify for Small Entity status. Standing authorization for all necessary extensions of time and applicable fees had been made of record in the electronic file wrapper for the instant patent application on August 15, 2006.

In accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.28(c), Applicant hereby remits the deficiency of \$65.00 as constituting the difference between the Small Entity fee for a one-month extension of time, which was timely paid on August 5, 2010, and the Large Entity fee of \$130.00, which was the proper fee that should have been remitted for the one-month extension of time:

Large Entity Fee for One-Month Extension Owed  
on August 5, 2010 (and Current Fee on August 6, 2010)... \$130.00

Small Entity Fee for One-Month Extension Timely Actually Paid  
on August 5, 2010.....65.00

Deficiency of Payment for One-Month Extension Fee and  
and Now Due under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) for Large Entity....\$ 65.00

No other deficiency or error in payment is known to exist for this patent application.

The deficiency/balance due is being concurrently remitted as an electronic funds transfer (“EFT”) via EFS-WEB, and will be indicated as being the one-month extension fee of \$65.00 for a Small Entity, thereby having twice remitted this fee and thereby properly paying the Large Entity fee of \$130.00. Payment of the one-month extension fee of \$65.00 at this time is not a claim to entitlement to Small Entity status.

The undersigned apologizes to the Examiner and the Office for any inconvenience resulting from the initial oversight in payment.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEF FELBER

By   
Edwin D. Schindler  
*Attorney for Applicant*  
Reg. No. 31,459

**PTO Customer No. 60333**

Five Hirsch Avenue  
P. O. Box 966  
Coram, New York 11727-0966

(631)474-5373

August 6, 2010

Enc.: EFT for \$65.00 (Balance/Deficiency for Large Entity fee for One-Month Extension)

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge the Deposit Account of Applicant's Attorney (*Account No. 19-0450*) for any fees or costs pertaining to the prosecution of the above-identified patent application, but which have not otherwise been provided for.